

A MONOMIAL BASIS FOR THE VIRASORO MINIMAL SERIES

$M(p, p') : \text{THE CASE } 1 < p'/p < 2$

B. FEIGIN, M. JIMBO, T. MIWA, E. MUKHIN AND Y. TAKEYAMA

ABSTRACT. Quadratic relations of the intertwiners are given explicitly in two cases of chiral conformal field theory, and monomial bases of the representation spaces are constructed by using the Fourier components of the intertwiners. The two cases are the (p, p') -minimal series $M_{r,s}$ ($1 \leq r \leq p-1, 1 \leq s \leq p'-1$) for the Virasoro algebra where $1 < p'/p < 2$, and the level k integrable highest weight modules $V(\lambda)$ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq k$) for the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study quadratic relations satisfied by intertwiners (or primary fields) in chiral conformal field theory in two basic cases: (I) the (p, p') -minimal series $M_{r,s}$ ($1 \leq r \leq p-1, 1 \leq s \leq p'-1$) for the Virasoro algebra where $1 < p'/p < 2$, and (II) the level k integrable highest weight modules $V(\lambda)$ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq k$) for the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. We consider special intertwiners: the $(2, 1)$ -primary field acting as $M_{r,s} \rightarrow M_{r \pm 1, s}$ for (I), and the $\mathbb{C}^2$ intertwiner acting as $V(\lambda) \rightarrow V(\lambda \pm 1)$ for (II). We write explicitly the quadratic relations for these intertwiners. Our aim is to construct bases of representation spaces by using the intertwiners. We construct vectors in representation spaces from the highest weight vectors by the action of monomials in the Fourier components of intertwiners. In Case (I), the space $\oplus_{r=1}^{p-1} M_{r,s}$ is generated from the highest weight vector $|b(s), s\rangle \in M_{b(s), s}$ by the action of the $(2, 1)$ -primary field. We choose $1 \leq b(s) \leq p-1$ in such a way that the conformal dimension $\Delta_{r,s}$ of the space $M_{r,s}$ attains the minimum at $r = b(s)$. Similarly, in Case (II), the space $\oplus_{\lambda=0}^k V(\lambda)$ is generated from the highest weight vector $|0\rangle \in V(0)$ by the action of the $\mathbb{C}^2$ intertwiner. We call these vectors monomials. Using the quadratic relations, we rewrite an arbitrary monomial as a linear combination of monomials satisfying certain admissibility conditions. We then prove that admissible monomials are linearly independent by computing the characters of representations.

There exist several constructions of monomial bases in terms of the chiral conformal algebras (the Virasoro algebra, the affine Lie algebras, and so on), instead of the intertwiners [3, 4, 5, 6]. For comparison we review one of such constructions for the Virasoro algebra. In [10] a monomial base of the irreducible module $M_{1,s} (\simeq M_{1,2k+3-s})$ ($1 \leq s \leq k+1$) in the $(2, 2k+3)$ -minimal series is constructed. In addition to the Lie algebra relations, which is quadratic, the Virasoro generators L_n satisfy additional relations of order $k+1$: for each

Date: February 1, 2008.

$m \in \mathbb{Z}$ an element of the form

$$\sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{\substack{n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_l \\ n_1 + \dots + n_l = m}} c_{n_1, \dots, n_l}^{(m)} L_{n_1} \cdots L_{n_l}$$

acts as zero on all modules $M_{1,s}$. These relations are obtained from a single relation for the Virasoro current. Using the relations one can reduce any monomial of the form

$$L_{-n_1} \cdots L_{-n_N} |1, s\rangle \quad (n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_N > 0)$$

to those which satisfy the difference two conditions

$$(1.1) \quad n_i - n_{i+k} \geq 2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq N - k).$$

In the case of intertwiners, the operators act from one irreducible module to another. Therefore, in order to label monomials we need to specify a sequence of modules which appear in the composition of intertwiners. We call it a path. Since different modules have different conformal dimensions, a natural parametrization of the Fourier components is by rational numbers instead of integers. In Case (I), monomials are of the form

$$\phi_{-n_1}^{(r_0, r_1)} \cdots \phi_{-n_N}^{(r_{N-1}, r_N)} |b(s), s\rangle$$

where $r_N = b(s)$, $r_i = r_{i+1} \pm 1$ and $n_i \in \Delta_{r_{i-1}, s} - \Delta_{r_i, s} + \mathbb{Z}$. The operator $\phi_n^{(r, r')}$ maps $M_{r', s} \rightarrow M_{r, s}$.

The difference two conditions change to

$$n_i - n_{i+1} \geq w(r_i, r_{i+1}, r_{i+2}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq N - 1)$$

where $w(r, r', r'')$ ($r = r' \pm 1, r' = r'' \pm 1$) are rational numbers. Namely, the distance k and the gap 2 in (1.1) change to the distance 1 and the gap w . The gap is dependent on the path.

Let us illustrate the gap condition in the simplest case $w(r + 2, r + 1, r) = t/2$. The quadratic relation reads

$$\begin{aligned} & z_1^{-t/2} (1 - z_2/z_1)^{-t/2} \phi^{(r+2, r+1)}(z_1) \phi^{(r+1, r)}(z_2) \\ &= z_2^{-t/2} (1 - z_1/z_2)^{-t/2} \phi^{(r+2, r+1)}(z_2) \phi^{(r+1, r)}(z_1), \end{aligned}$$

where we have the expansion

$$\phi^{(r, r')}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \Delta_{r', s} - \Delta_{r, s}} \phi_n z^{-n - \Delta_{2, 1}}.$$

Let $(1 - z)^{-t/2} = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n z^n$ be the Taylor expansion. In terms of the Fourier coefficients we have the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_m^{(r+2, r+1)} \phi_n^{(r+1, r)} + c_1 \phi_{m-1}^{(r+2, r+1)} \phi_{n+1}^{(r+1, r)} + \cdots \\ &= \phi_{n-t/2}^{(r+2, r+1)} \phi_{m+t/2}^{(r+1, r)} + c_1 \phi_{n-t/2-1}^{(r+2, r+1)} \phi_{m+t/2+1}^{(r+1, r)} + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

If $n - m < t/2$, we can reduce a monomial $\phi_m^{(r+2, r+1)} \phi_n^{(r+1, r)}$ by this relation to a linear combination of monomials $\phi_{m'}^{(r+2, r+1)} \phi_{n'}^{(r+1, r)}$ where $n' - m' > n - m$. The case $w(r, r \pm 1, r)$

is more involved. Instead of the power function $(1 - z_2/z_1)^{-t/2}$ we need a hypergeometric series. The details are given in the main text.

The combinatorial structure of monomial bases for Case (II) is similar. In this case, the intertwiner has two components corresponding to two weight vectors in the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ module $\mathbb{C}^2$. Therefore, we need another kind of paths which describe the composition sequence of these components.

In [13] and [11], respectively, a monomial base in terms of the $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ intertwiner for the level k integrable highest weight $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ modules, and one in terms of the $(2, 1)$ -primary field in the $(3, p')$ Virasoro minimal series, respectively, is constructed. To be precise, monomial bases constructed in these papers are not bases for the modules but ones for direct sums of the tensor products of the modules with certain bosonic Fock spaces. The intertwiners are modified by bosonic vertex operators so that they constitute a new VOA.

Finally, we mention [14], in which, in the framework of VOA, an existence of an infinite set of quadratic relations is shown. In the present paper, in Cases (I) and (II), we give explicit forms of quadratic relations. We use finitely many components of intertwiners, only one in Case (I) and two in Case (II), and write finitely many quadratic relations. Each relation consists of infinitely many relations for the Fourier components of the intertwiners. We also prove that the left ideal which annihilates the highest weight vector is generated by these relations (and the highest weight conditions) by showing that monomial bases are obtained by reduction using these quadratic relations.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we derive the quadratic relations of the intertwiners for Case (I). In Section 3 we construct monomial bases for Case (I). Construction of the quadratic relations and the monomial bases for Case (II) is given in Section 4.

2. QUADRATIC RELATIONS FOR THE $(2, 1)$ -PRIMARY FIELD

2.1. The $(2, 1)$ -primary field in the minimal series. In this subsection we introduce our notation, and summarize some basic facts concerning representations of the Virasoro algebra which will be used in subsequent sections. For these and related formulas, we find the textbook [1] to be a useful reference.

Let Vir be the Virasoro algebra with the standard $\mathbb{C}$ -basis $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and c , satisfying

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}m(m^2 - 1)\delta_{m+n, 0}, \quad [c, L_n] = 0.$$

Fix a pair (p, p') of relatively prime positive integers. We set

$$t = \frac{p'}{p}.$$

Though we will consider only the case $1 < t < 2$ later on, we do not make this assumption in Section 2. For each (p, p') , there exists a family of irreducible Vir -modules $M_{r,s} = M_{r,s}(p, p')$ ($1 \leq r \leq p - 1$, $1 \leq s \leq p' - 1$) on which c acts as the scalar

$$c_{p,p'} = 13 - 6 \left(t + \frac{1}{t} \right).$$

We use the notation $|v\rangle$ to represent an element of $M_{r,s}$. The module $M_{r,s}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded with respect to the degree operator L_0 ,

$$M_{r,s} = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} + \Delta_{r,s}} (M_{r,s})_d, \\ (M_{r,s})_d = \{|v\rangle \in M_{r,s} \mid L_0|v\rangle = d|v\rangle\},$$

where $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M_{r,s})_{\Delta_{r,s}} = 1$ and

$$\Delta_{r,s} = \frac{(rt - s)^2 - (t - 1)^2}{4t}.$$

We fix a generator of $(M_{r,s})_{\Delta_{r,s}}$ and denote it by $|r, s\rangle$. We have $L_n(M_{r,s})_d \subset (M_{r,s})_{d-n}$, so that $L_n|r, s\rangle = 0$ ($n > 0$), and L_{-n} ($n > 0$) are the creation operators.

Consider the right *Vir*-module $M_{r,s}^* = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} + \Delta_{r,s}} (M_{r,s})_d^*$, $(M_{r,s})_d^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}((M_{r,s})_d, \mathbb{C})$. For $\langle u| \in (M_{r,s})_d^*$ and $|v\rangle \in (M_{r,s})_d$, we set $\deg \langle u| = d$, $\deg |v\rangle = d$, and write the dual coupling $M_{r,s}^* \times M_{r,s} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as $(\langle u|, |v\rangle) \mapsto \langle u|v\rangle$. We denote by $\langle r, s| \in (M_{r,s})_{\Delta_{r,s}}^*$ the element such that $\langle r, s|r, s\rangle = 1$.

In conformal field theory, the notion of primary fields plays a key role. The (k, l) -primary field is a collection of generating series

$$\phi_{k,l}^{(r',s';r,s)}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \Delta_{r,s} - \Delta_{r',s'}} (\phi_{k,l}^{(r',s';r,s)})_n z^{-n - \Delta_{k,l}},$$

whose coefficients are linear operators $(\phi_{k,l}^{(r',s';r,s)})_n : M_{r,s} \rightarrow M_{r',s'}$. Up to a scalar multiple, it is characterized by the following properties.

$$(2.1) \quad [L_n, \phi_{k,l}^{(r',s';r,s)}(z)] = z^n (z\partial + (n+1)\Delta_{k,l}) \phi_{k,l}^{(r',s';r,s)}(z), \\ (\phi_{k,l}^{(r',s';r,s)})_n (M_{r,s})_d \subset (M_{r',s'})_{d-n}.$$

Here and after, we set $\partial = d/dz$.

In this paper we will consider only the $(2, 1)$ -primary field. It exists for $(r', s') = (r \pm 1, s)$ with $1 \leq r, r \pm 1 \leq p - 1$, $1 \leq s \leq p' - 1$. Normally we suppress the index (r, s) and write it as

$$(2.2) \quad \phi^{\pm}(z) = \phi_{2,1}^{(r \pm 1, s; r, s)}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \Delta_{r,s} - \Delta_{r \pm 1, s}} \phi_n^{\pm} z^{-n - \Delta_{2,1}},$$

where $\Delta_{2,1} = (3t - 2)/4$. We choose the normalization ¹

$$(2.3) \quad \phi_{\Delta_{r,s} - \Delta_{r \pm 1, s}}^{\pm} |r, s\rangle = |r \pm 1, s\rangle.$$

It is known that the highest-to-highest matrix element

$$H(z_1, z_2) = \langle r', s| \phi^{\sigma_1}(z_1) \phi^{\sigma_2}(z_2) |r, s\rangle \quad (r' = r + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2)$$

satisfies the second order linear differential equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{t} \partial^2 + \left(\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z-1} \right) \partial - \frac{\Delta_{r,s}}{z^2} - \frac{\Delta_{2,1}}{(z-1)^2} + \frac{2\Delta_{2,1} + \Delta_{r,s} - \Delta_{r',s}}{z(z-1)} \right) H(1, z) = 0.$$

¹Our normalization (2.3) is different from the one commonly used in conformal field theory, where one demands $\langle r, s| \phi^{\sigma}(z_1) \phi^{-\sigma}(z_2) |r, s\rangle = (z_1 - z_2)^{-2\Delta_{2,1}} + \dots$ as $z_1 \rightarrow z_2$.

The existence, and the above differential equation, are the only information we will need about $\phi^\pm(z)$.

Together with the homogeneity $H(kz_1, kz_2) = k^{\Delta_{r',s} - \Delta_{r,s} - 2\Delta_{2,1}} H(z_1, z_2)$ and the normalization (2.3), $H(z_1, z_2)$ are determined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r \pm 2, s | \phi^\pm(z_1) \phi^\pm(z_2) | r, s \rangle &= (z_1 z_2)^{-(t-1)/2 \pm (rt-s)/2} (z_1 - z_2)^{t/2}, \\ \langle r, s | \phi^\mp(z_1) \phi^\pm(z_2) | r, s \rangle &= (z_1 z_2)^{-\Delta_{2,1}} y_{r,s}^\pm(z_2/z_1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad y_{r,s}^\pm(z) = z^{t/4 \pm (rt-s)/2} (1-z)^{1-3t/2} F(1-t, 1-t \pm (rt-s), 1 \pm (rt-s); z)$$

and $F(a, b, c; z)$ denotes the hypergeometric function. In the particular case $r = 1$ or $r = p-1$, there are only the following possibilities:

$$\begin{aligned} r = 1 & : y_{1,s}^+(z) = z^{3t/4-s/2} (1-z)^{1-3t/2} F(1-t, 1-s, 1-s+t; z), \\ r = p-1 & : y_{p-1,s}^-(z) = z^{3t/4-(p'-s)/2} (1-z)^{1-3t/2} F(1-t, 1-p'+s, 1-p'+s+t; z). \end{aligned}$$

The relevant hypergeometric functions are reciprocal polynomials, i.e.,

$$(2.5) \quad F(a, -n, 1-a-n; z^{-1}) = z^{-n} F(a, -n, 1-a-n; z) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

From these results and the intertwining property (2.1), it follows that the general matrix elements $\langle u | \phi^{\sigma_1}(z_1) \phi^{\sigma_2}(z_2) | v \rangle$ ($\langle u | \in M_{r',s}^*$, $|v\rangle \in M_{r,s}$) are Laurent series convergent in the domain $|z_1| > |z_2|$, multiplied by an overall rational power of z_1, z_2 .

2.2. A bilinear relation for hypergeometric functions. The hypergeometric equation for $y_{r,s}^\pm(z)$ is invariant under the substitution $z \rightarrow z^{-1}$. In this subsection, we derive an identity for solutions to such an equation.

Let us consider a Fuchsian linear differential equation with regular singularities at $0, 1, \infty$, which is also invariant under the substitution $z \rightarrow z^{-1}$. It takes the general form

$$\frac{d^2 y}{dz^2} + \left(\frac{1 - \lambda_+ - \lambda_-}{z} + \frac{1 - \mu - \nu}{z-1} \right) \frac{dy}{dz} + \left(\frac{\lambda_+ \lambda_-}{z^2} + \frac{\mu \nu}{z(z-1)^2} \right) y = 0,$$

where $\lambda_\pm, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$ are parameters satisfying the relation

$$2(\lambda_+ + \lambda_-) + \mu + \nu = 1.$$

The corresponding Riemann scheme is

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{Bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \infty \\ \lambda_+ & \mu & \lambda_+ \\ \lambda_- & \nu & \lambda_- \end{Bmatrix}.$$

We assume that $\lambda_+ - \lambda_-, \mu - \nu \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then a basis of solutions is given by

$$y^\pm(z) = C^\pm (-z)^{\lambda_\pm} (1-z)^\mu F(\lambda_+ + \lambda_- + \mu, 2\lambda_\pm + \mu, 1 \pm (\lambda_+ - \lambda_-); z)$$

with $C^\pm \neq 0$. Fixing the branch by $\arg(-z) = 0$ for $z < 0$, we have the transformation law

$$y^\tau(z^{-1}) = \sum_{\sigma=\pm} y^\sigma(z) B_\sigma^\tau,$$

where the connection matrix $B = (B_\sigma^\tau)_{\sigma,\tau=\pm}$ is given by

$$(2.7) \quad B_\pm^\pm = \frac{\sin \pi(\lambda_+ + \lambda_- + \mu)}{\sin \pi(\lambda_\mp - \lambda_\pm)}, \quad B_\mp^\pm = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_\pm - \lambda_\mp)\Gamma(1 + \lambda_\pm - \lambda_\mp)}{\Gamma(2\lambda_\pm + \mu)\Gamma(1 - 2\lambda_\mp - \mu)} \frac{C^\pm}{C^\mp}.$$

Consider now the Riemann scheme

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \infty \\ -\lambda_+ & -\mu & -\lambda_+ \\ -\lambda_- & 2-\nu & -\lambda_- \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$(2.9) \quad \check{y}^\pm(z) = \check{C}^\pm(-z)^{-\lambda_\pm}(1-z)^{-\mu}F(-\lambda_+ - \lambda_- - \mu, -2\lambda_\pm - \mu, 1 \mp (\lambda_+ - \lambda_-); z)$$

be a basis of solutions of the corresponding differential equation. From (2.7) it follows that, if

$$(2.10) \quad C^+ \check{C}^+ = -\frac{2\lambda_- + \mu}{2\lambda_+ + \mu} C^- \check{C}^-,$$

then the connection matrix associated with (2.9) is given by ${}^t B^{-1}$. We take

$$(2.11) \quad \check{C}^\pm C^\pm = \frac{2\lambda_\mp + \mu}{2(\lambda_\mp - \lambda_\pm)},$$

so that (2.10) and $C^+ \check{C}^+ + C^- \check{C}^- = 1$ hold.

Lemma 2.1. *With the choice (2.11), the following identities hold.*

$$(2.12) \quad y^+(z)\check{y}^+(z) + y^-(z)\check{y}^-(z) = 1,$$

$$(2.13) \quad y^+(z)(z\partial\check{y}^+)(z) + y^-(z)(z\partial\check{y}^-)(z) = \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Proof. Denote by $\varphi(z)$, $\tilde{\varphi}(z)$ the left hand sides of (2.12), (2.13), respectively. They are single valued and holomorphic at $z = 0$. From the relations of the connection matrices mentioned above, we have $\varphi(z^{-1}) = \varphi(z)$, $\tilde{\varphi}(z^{-1}) = -\tilde{\varphi}(z)$. Therefore they are single valued also at $z = \infty$, and hence on $\mathbb{P}^1$. From the Riemann schemes (2.6), (2.8), we see that $\varphi(z)$ is regular at $z = 1$, while $\tilde{\varphi}(z)$ has at most a simple pole there. The lemma follows from these facts. $\square$

2.3. Exchange relations. The aim of this subsection is to derive the quadratic exchange relations for the $(2, 1)$ -primary field.

First let us introduce several functions $f_{r,s}^\sigma, g_{r,s}^\sigma, h_{r,s}^\sigma$ which enter these relations. They are of the form $z_1^\alpha z_2^\beta \psi(z_2/z_1)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\psi(z)$ is a power series convergent in $|z| < 1$.

Suppose $2 \leq r \leq p-2$. Set

$$\lambda_\pm = \Delta_{r\pm 1, s} - \Delta_{r, s} = \frac{t}{4} \pm \frac{rt-s}{2},$$

$$\mu = -2\Delta_{2,1} = 1 - \frac{3t}{2}, \quad \nu = \Delta_{3,1} - 2\Delta_{2,1} = \frac{t}{2},$$

and $C^\pm = e^{\pi i \lambda_\pm}$.

With this choice of parameters, $y^\pm(z)$ becomes $y_{r,s}^\pm(z)$ in (2.4). The corresponding functions $\check{y}^\pm(z)$ defined by (2.9) and (2.11) will be denoted by $\check{y}_{r,s}^\pm(z)$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r,s}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) &= (z_1 z_2)^{\Delta_{2,1}} z_1^{-1} (1 - z_2/z_1)^{-1} \check{y}_{r,s}^{\pm}(z_2/z_1), \\ g_{r,s}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) &= (z_1 z_2)^{\Delta_{2,1}} (z \partial \check{y}_{r,s}^{\pm})(z_2/z_1). \end{aligned}$$

In the case $r = 1$ or $p - 1$, we define $f_{r,s}^{\sigma}(z_1, z_2) = g_{r,s}^{\sigma}(z_1, z_2) = 0$ except for the following ones.

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{1,s}^{+}(z_1, z_2) &= K_{1-t,s-1} z_1^{3(t-1)/2+s/2-1} z_2^{(s-1)/2} (1 - z_2/z_1)^{-2+3t/2}, \\ f_{p-1,s}^{-}(z_1, z_2) &= K_{1-t,p'-s-1} z_1^{3(t-1)/2+(p'-s)/2-1} z_2^{(p'-s-1)/2} (1 - z_2/z_1)^{-2+3t/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $K_{a,n} = F(a, -n, 1 - a - n; 1)^{-1} = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (a + j)/(2a + j)$. Define also

$$(2.15) \quad h_{r,s}^{\pm}(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 z_2)^{(t-1)/2 \mp (rt-s)/2} z_1^{-t/2} (1 - z_2/z_1)^{-t/2}$$

for all r, s .

Consider the formal series

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) &:= \sum_{\sigma=\pm} f_{r,s}^{\sigma}(z_1, z_2) \phi^{-\sigma}(z_1) \phi^{\sigma}(z_2), \\ \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) &:= \sum_{\sigma=\pm} g_{r,s}^{\sigma}(z_1, z_2) \phi^{-\sigma}(z_1) \phi^{\sigma}(z_2), \\ \mathcal{H}_{r,s}^{\sigma}(z_1, z_2) &:= h_{r,s}^{\sigma}(z_1, z_2) \phi^{\sigma}(z_1) \phi^{\sigma}(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

These series have the form $\sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{m,n} z_1^m z_2^n$, where each coefficient $\mathcal{O}_{m,n}$ is a well defined linear operator.

Lemma 2.2. *The following identities hold:*

$$(2.16) \quad \langle r, s | \mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) | r, s \rangle = \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} P_{r,s}(z_1, z_2),$$

$$(2.17) \quad \langle r, s | \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) | r, s \rangle = (-\Delta_{2,1}) \frac{z_1 + z_2}{z_1 - z_2} \quad (2 \leq r \leq p-2),$$

$$(2.18) \quad \langle r + 2\sigma, s | \mathcal{H}^{\sigma}(z_1, z_2) | r, s \rangle = 1,$$

where $P_{r,s}(z_1, z_2)$ is a homogeneous symmetric polynomial satisfying

$$P_{r,s}(z, z) = \begin{cases} 1 & (2 \leq r \leq p-2), \\ z^{s-1} & (r = 1), \\ z^{p'-s-1} & (r = p-1). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.1, formulas (2.16), (2.17) for $2 \leq r \leq p-2$ and (2.18) are easily verified with $P_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) = 1$. Formula (2.16) for $r = 1$ follows from

$$P_{1,s}(z_1, z_2) = z_1^{s-1} \frac{F(1-t, 1-s, 1-s+t; z_2/z_1)}{F(1-t, 1-s, 1-s+t; 1)}$$

and (2.5). The case $r = p-1$ is similar. □

Denote by $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]^{S_2}$ the space of symmetric Laurent polynomials in z_1, z_2 .

Lemma 2.3. *Set $\mathcal{L}_n = z_1^{n+1}\partial_1 + z_2^{n+1}\partial_2 + (n+1)(z_1^n + z_2^n)\Delta_{2,1}$, $\partial_j = \partial/\partial z_j$. Then there exist $a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n, e_n \in \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]^{S_2}$ such that*

$$(2.19) \quad [L_n, \mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2)] = (\mathcal{L}_n + a_n(z_1, z_2))\mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) + b_n(z_1, z_2)\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2),$$

$$(2.20) \quad [L_n, \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2)] = c_n(z_1, z_2)\mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) + (\mathcal{L}_n + d_n(z_1, z_2))\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2),$$

$$(2.21) \quad [L_n, \mathcal{H}_{r,s}^\sigma(z_1, z_2)] = (\mathcal{L}_n + e_n(z_1, z_2))\mathcal{H}_{r,s}^\sigma(z_1, z_2).$$

Proof. Let us show 2.19 assuming $1 < r < p-1$. Using

$$[L_n, \phi^{-\sigma}(z_1)\phi^\sigma(z_2)] = \mathcal{L}_n\phi^{-\sigma}(z_1)\phi^\sigma(z_2),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [L_n, \mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2)] - \mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) \\ &= - \sum_{\sigma=\pm} (z_1^{n+1}\partial_1 + z_2^{n+1}\partial_2) f_{r,s}^\sigma(z_1, z_2) \cdot \phi^{-\sigma}(z_1)\phi^\sigma(z_2) \\ &= a_n(z_1, z_2)\mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) + b_n(z_1, z_2)\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{z_1^{n+1} - z_2^{n+1}}{z_1 - z_2} - \Delta_{2,1}(z_1^n + z_2^n), \\ b_n(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{z_1^n - z_2^n}{z_1 - z_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have (2.19). For $r = 1$ or $p-1$, we find

$$[L_n, \mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2)] - \mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) = a'_n(z_1, z_2)\mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2),$$

where

$$a'_n(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{s'-1}{2}(z_1^n + z_2^n) - (2\Delta_{2,1} - 1) \frac{z_1^{n+1} - z_2^{n+1}}{z_1 - z_2}$$

and $s' = s$ ($r = 1$) or $p' - s$ ($r = p-1$).

Likewise we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [L_n, \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2)] - \mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) \\ &= -\Delta_{2,1}(z_1^n + z_2^n)\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) \\ &+ (z_1 z_2)^{\Delta_{2,1}}(z_1^n - z_2^n) \sum_{\sigma=\pm} ((z\partial)^2 \check{y}_{r,s}^\sigma)(z_2/z_1) \cdot \phi^{-\sigma}(z_1)\phi^\sigma(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

With the substitution

$$(z\partial)^2 \check{y}_{r,s} = \frac{t}{2} \frac{z+1}{z-1} z \partial \check{y}_{r,s} - \left(\frac{t^2}{16} - \frac{(rt-s)^2}{4} - \left(\frac{3t}{2} - 1\right) \left(\frac{t}{2} - 2\right) \frac{z}{(z-1)^2} \right) \check{y}_{r,s},$$

the right hand side becomes

$$c_n(z_1, z_2)\mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) + d_n(z_1, z_2)\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2),$$

with

$$c_n(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{z_1^n - z_2^n}{z_1 - z_2} \left(\left(\frac{t^2}{16} - \frac{(rt-s)^2}{4} \right) (z_1 - z_2)^2 - \left(\frac{3t}{2} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{t}{2} - 2 \right) z_1 z_2 \right),$$

$$d_n(z_1, z_2) = -\left(\frac{t}{2} \frac{z_1^n - z_2^n}{z_1 - z_2} (z_1 + z_2) + \Delta_{2,1}(z_1^n + z_2^n) \right).$$

This proves (2.20).

The case (2.21) is similar. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. *Set $\delta(z_2/z_1) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z_1^{-n} z_2^n$. We have the identities of formal series*

$$(2.22) \quad \sum_{\sigma=\pm} f_{r,s}^\sigma(z_1, z_2) \phi^{-\sigma}(z_1) \phi^\sigma(z_2) + \sum_{\sigma=\pm} f_{r,s}^\sigma(z_2, z_1) \phi^{-\sigma}(z_2) \phi^\sigma(z_1)$$

$$= z_1^{-1} \delta(z_2/z_1) \times \begin{cases} 1 & (2 \leq r \leq p-2), \\ z_1^{s-1} & (r=1), \\ z_1^{p'-s-1} & (r=p-1), \end{cases}$$

$$(2.23) \quad \sum_{\sigma=\pm} g_{r,s}^\sigma(z_1, z_2) \phi^{-\sigma}(z_1) \phi^\sigma(z_2) + \sum_{\sigma=\pm} g_{r,s}^\sigma(z_2, z_1) \phi^{-\sigma}(z_2) \phi^\sigma(z_1)$$

$$= (-2\Delta_{2,1}) \delta(z_2/z_1) \quad (2 \leq r \leq p-2),$$

$$(2.24) \quad h_{r,s}^\sigma(z_1, z_2) \phi^\sigma(z_1) \phi^\sigma(z_2) = h_{r,s}^\sigma(z_2, z_1) \phi^\sigma(z_2) \phi^\sigma(z_1).$$

Proof. We show that for any $\langle u | \in M_{r',s}^*$, $|v\rangle \in M_{r,s}$ we have modulo $(z_1 - z_2)\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]^{S_2}$

$$(2.25) \quad \langle u | \mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) | v \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} \langle u | v \rangle P_{r,s}(z_1, z_2),$$

$$(2.26) \quad \langle u | \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) | v \rangle \equiv (-\Delta_{2,1}) \frac{z_1 + z_2}{z_1 - z_2} \langle u | v \rangle \quad (2 \leq r \leq p-2),$$

where $P_{r,s}(z_1, z_2)$ is as in Lemma 2.2.

We also show that modulo $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]^{S_2}$

$$(2.27) \quad \langle u | \mathcal{H}_{r,s}^\sigma(z_1, z_2) | v \rangle \equiv 0.$$

The left hand sides are convergent in the domain $|z_1| > |z_2|$. Noting that

$$\frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} P_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) + (z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2) = z_1^{-1} \delta(z_2/z_1) \times P_{r,s}(z_1, z_1),$$

we obtain the proposition.

By Lemma 2.2, (2.25)–(2.27) hold true in the case $\langle u | = \langle r', s |$, $|v\rangle = |r, s\rangle$. By induction, suppose they are true for $\langle u' |$, $|v'\rangle$ with $\deg \langle u' | + \deg |v'\rangle < \deg \langle u | + \deg |v\rangle$. We may assume either $\langle u | = \langle u' | L_n$ or $|v\rangle = L_{-n} |v'\rangle$ with some $\langle u' |$, $|v'\rangle$ and $n > 0$. From Lemma 2.3, we

have in the first case with $1 < r < p - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle u' | L_n \mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) | v \rangle \\
& \equiv \langle u' | \mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) L_n | v \rangle + (\mathcal{L}_n + a_n(z_1, z_2)) \langle u' | \mathcal{F}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) | v \rangle + b_n(z_1, z_2) \langle u' | \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) | v \rangle \\
& \equiv \langle u' | L_n | v \rangle \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} P_{r,s}(z_1, z_2) \\
& + \left((\mathcal{L}_n + a_n(z_1, z_2)) \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} + b_n(z_1, z_2) (-\Delta_{2,1}) \frac{z_1 + z_2}{z_1 - z_2} \right) \langle u' | v \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last line we used the induction hypothesis. The second term in the right hand side is a Laurent polynomial. Indeed, using the expressions for a_n, b_n given in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& (z_1 - z_2) \left((\mathcal{L}_n + a_n(z_1, z_2)) \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} + b_n(z_1, z_2) (-\Delta_{2,1}) \frac{z_1 + z_2}{z_1 - z_2} \right) \\
& = \Delta_{2,1} \left(n(z_1^n + z_2^n) - \frac{z_1^n - z_2^n}{z_1 - z_2} (z_1 + z_2) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since it vanishes at $z_2 = z_1$, the assertion follows.

In the same way, in the case $r = 1$ or $p - 1$, we are to check that $(\mathcal{L}_n + a'_n(z_1, z_2)) \frac{P_{r,s}(z_1, z_2)}{z_1 - z_2}$ is a Laurent polynomial, where a'_n is as in the proof of Lemma 2.3. This can be verified by noting that $(z_1^{n+1} \partial_1 + z_2^{n+1} \partial_2) P_{r,s}(z_1, z_1) = (s' - 1) z_1^{n+s'-1}$.

The other cases can be proved in a similar manner. $\square$

3. MONOMIAL BASES IN TERMS OF THE PRIMARY FIELD $\phi_{2,1}$

We fix coprime integers p, p' ($t = p'/p$) satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad 1 < t < 2,$$

and consider the representations $M_{r,s}$ ($1 \leq r \leq p - 1, 1 \leq s \leq p' - 1$) of the Virasoro algebra in the (p, p') minimal series. We construct a monomial basis of $M_{r,s}$ by using the $(2, 1)$ -primary field.

3.1. Spanning set of monomials. In this subsection we construct a spanning set of vectors for each $M_{r,s}$. In the next subsection, we prove that it constitutes a basis.

For each $1 \leq s \leq p' - 1$ we define $b(s)$ by the condition that the conformal dimension $\Delta_{r,s}$ takes the minimal value at $r = b(s)$ for fixed s . Because of the restriction (3.1), we have

$$(3.2) \quad [tb(s)] = s \text{ or } s - 1.$$

Here $[x]$ is the integer part of x . We construct vectors in the spaces $M_{r,s}$ ($1 \leq r \leq p - 1$) by applying the Fourier components $\phi_n^\pm$ of the $(2, 1)$ -primary field (2.2) to $|b(s), s\rangle$.

To each triple of integers (r, r', r'') satisfying the conditions $1 \leq r, r', r'' \leq p-1$, $r' = r \pm 1$ and $r'' = r' \pm 1$ we associate a local weight $w(r, r', r'')$:

$$(3.3) \quad w(r, r \pm 1, r \pm 2) = \frac{t}{2},$$

$$(3.4) \quad w(r, r+1, r) = 2 - \frac{t}{2} + [tr] - tr,$$

$$(3.5) \quad w(r, r-1, r) = 1 - \frac{t}{2} - [tr] + tr.$$

We have

$$(3.6) \quad w(r, r', r'') \geq 0,$$

$$(3.7) \quad w(r, r', r'') \equiv \Delta_{r'',s} + \Delta_{r,s} - 2\Delta_{r',s} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}},$$

$$(3.8) \quad w(r, r', r'') = w(p-r, p-r', p-r'').$$

A sequence of integers $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_L)$ satisfying the conditions $1 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ and $r_{i+1} = r_i \pm 1$ is called a one-dimensional configuration of length L . We denote by $C_{a,c}^{(L)}$ the set of one-dimensional configurations of length L satisfying $r_0 = a$ and $r_L = c$. By the definition $C_{a,c}^{(L)}$ is an empty set unless $L \equiv a - c \pmod{2}$.

Let $L \geq 0$ be an integer. We define type s admissible monomials of length L , and associated one-dimensional configurations of length L .

A type s monomial of length L is a sequence $m = (\sigma_1, m_1; \dots; \sigma_L, m_L)$ of signs $\sigma_i = \pm$ (or $\sigma_i = \pm 1$) and rational numbers $m_i \in \mathbf{Q}$ ($1 \leq i \leq L$). The associated one-dimensional configuration $\mathbf{r} = r(m)$ of length L is defined by

$$(3.9) \quad r(m)_L = b(s) \text{ and } r(m)_{i-1} = r(m)_i + \sigma_i \quad (2 \leq i \leq L).$$

A void sequence is a type s monomial of length 0. We denote it by $\emptyset$. The monomial $\emptyset$ is the unique type s monomial of length 0. It is an admissible monomial by definition. The associated one-dimensional configuration $r(\emptyset)$ is such that $r(\emptyset)_0 = b(s)$.

If $L \geq 1$, a type s monomial m is admissible if and only if the following conditions, where $1 \leq i \leq L-1$, are valid.

$$(3.10) \quad 1 \leq r(m)_i \leq p-1,$$

$$(3.11) \quad -m_i \in \Delta_{r(m)_{i-1},s} - \Delta_{r(m)_i,s} + \mathbb{Z},$$

$$(3.12) \quad -m_L \in \Delta_{r(m)_{L-1},s} - \Delta_{b(s),s} + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

$$(3.13) \quad -m_i + m_{i+1} \in w(r(m)_{i-1}, r(m)_i, r(m)_{i+1}) + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

With each admissible monomial $m = (\sigma_1, m_1; \dots; \sigma_L, m_L)$ of type s we associate the product of the Fourier components:

$$(3.14) \quad \Phi(m) = \phi_{m_1}^{\sigma_1} \dots \phi_{m_L}^{\sigma_L},$$

and define a vector $|m\rangle \in M_{r,s}$ where $r = r(m)_0$ by

$$(3.15) \quad |m\rangle = \Phi(m)|b(s), s\rangle.$$

The degree of the vector $|m\rangle$ is given by

$$(3.16) \quad d(m) = \Delta_{b(s),s} - \sum_{i=1}^L m_i,$$

This is consistent with the degree d in $M_{r,s} = \oplus_d (M_{r,s})_d$ given by the operator L_0 :

$$(3.17) \quad (M_{r,s})_d = \{v \in M_{r,s} | L_0 v = dv\}.$$

The operator ϕ_n^σ changes the degree by $-n$.

Starting from the vector $|b(s), s\rangle$, we create vectors successively by the operators $\phi_{m_i}^{\sigma_i}$. The conditions (3.10) and (3.11) ensure that the operator $\phi_{m_i}^{\sigma_i}$ is acting as $M_{r(m)_i,s} \rightarrow M_{r(m)_{i-1},s}$, the condition (3.12) must hold if the vector $\phi_{m_L}^{\sigma_L} |b(s), s\rangle$ is non-zero, and the condition (3.13) requires that the increment from $i+1$ to i of the degree differences caused by the operators $\phi_{m_{i+1}}^{\sigma_{i+1}}$ and $\phi_{m_i}^{\sigma_i}$ is at least $w(r(m)_{i-1}, r(m)_i, r(m)_{i+1})$.

We denote by $B_{r,s}$ the set of type s admissible monomials m such that $r(m)_0 = r$. In $B_{r,s}$ the length of elements varies. We will prove

Proposition 3.1. *The set of vectors $\{|m\rangle | m \in B_{r,s}\}$ is a spanning set of vectors in $M_{r,s}$.*

We prepare some notation before starting the proof of Proposition 3.1.

We denote by $\tilde{B}_{r,s}$ the set of type s monomials satisfying (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) and $r(m)_0 = r$. We drop the conditions (3.13) from $B_{r,s}$. The vectors $|m\rangle$ are defined for monomials $m \in \tilde{B}_{r,s}$ as well. We have

Proposition 3.2. *The set of vectors $\{|m\rangle | m \in \tilde{B}_{r,s}\}$ is a spanning set of vectors in $M_{r,s}$.*

Proof. Let $V \subset \oplus_{1 \leq r \leq p-1} M_{r,s}$ be the linear span of the vectors $\phi_{m_1}^{\sigma_1} \dots \phi_{m_L}^{\sigma_L} |b(s), s\rangle$. The proposition will follow if we show

$$(3.18) \quad |r, s\rangle \in V \quad (1 \leq r \leq p-1),$$

$$(3.19) \quad L_n V \subset V \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Assertion (3.18) is clear from (2.3). Assertion (3.19) for $n \geq 0$ follows from the intertwining relation (2.1) and the highest condition $L_n |r, s\rangle = 0$ ($n \geq 0$). To verify (3.19) for $n < 0$, it is enough to show that $L_n |b(s), s\rangle \in V$ for $n = -1, -2$. This can be seen by the following formula obtained by using (2.3), (2.1):

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-1} |r, s\rangle &= \pm \frac{(r \mp 1)t - s \pm 1}{t} \phi_{\Delta_{r \mp 1, s} - \Delta_{r, s-1}}^\pm |r \mp 1, s\rangle, \\ (L_{-2} \pm \frac{t}{(r \mp 1)t - s \pm 1} L_{-1}^2) |r, s\rangle \\ &= \pm \frac{2}{t} ((r \mp 1)t - s \pm 2) \phi_{\Delta_{r \mp 1, s} - \Delta_{r, s-2}}^\pm |r \mp 1, s\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is over. □

The vectors in Proposition 3.2 are not linearly independent. We want to discard those which are linearly dependent on others. In order to do this procedure systematically, we

define a partial ordering of the set $\tilde{B}_{r,s}$. We will show that if a monomial $m \in \tilde{B}_{r,s}$ is non-admissible the vector $|m\rangle$ can be written as a linear combination of the vectors associated with monomials smaller than m .

Let $m, m' \in \tilde{B}_{r,s}$, and let L, L' be the lengths of m, m' , respectively. We write $m < m'$ if and only if $L < L'$, or $L = L'$ and there exists $1 \leq l \leq L$ such that $m_i = m'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l-1$ and $m_l < m'_l$. Note that the above ordering is not a total order because we do not compare m, m' if they have the same length L and $m_i = m'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq L$, but $r(m) \neq r(m')$. Since the number of monomials of a fixed degree is finite, it follows that the vectors associated with non-admissible monomials are contained in the linear span of those associated with admissible monomials.

Proposition 3.3. *If a monomial $m \in \tilde{B}_{r,s}$ does not satisfy (3.13), then it can be written as a linear combination of vectors corresponding to smaller monomials in $\tilde{B}_{r,s}$.*

Before starting the proof we prepare some notation and a technical lemma.

Recall that $\rho = rt - s$, $\lambda_{\pm} = t/4 \pm \rho/2$. We set

$$(3.20) \quad \delta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n_1 + n_2 \text{ is even;} \\ 1 & \text{if } n_1 + n_2 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$(3.21) \quad w_+ = w(r, r+1, r) = 2 - t/2 + [\rho] - \rho,$$

$$(3.22) \quad w_- = w(r, r-1, r) = 1 - t/2 - [\rho] + \rho.$$

Note that $1 < w_- + w_+ < 2$.

We expand the hypergeometric functions which appear in (2.22):

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} f^{\pm}(z) &= (1-z)^{-2+\frac{3t}{2}} F(-1+t, -1+t \mp \rho, 1 \mp \rho; z) \\ &= (1-z)^{1-\frac{t}{2}} F(2-t, 2-t \mp \rho, 1 \mp \rho; z) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n^{\pm} z^n. \end{aligned}$$

For (2.23), we have

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} g^{\pm}(z) &= (z\partial - \lambda_{\pm})((1-z)f^{\pm}(z)) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_n^{\pm} z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $n < 0$, we have $f_n^{\pm} = g_n^{\pm} = 0$.

Lemma 3.4. *Define a $(2n + \delta) \times (2n + \delta)$ matrix*

$$(3.25) \quad M_{n,\delta}(\rho)_{j,k} = \begin{cases} f_{j+k-n-1-\delta}^+ + f_{-j+k-n}^+ & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n + \delta; \\ g_{j+k-2n-1-\delta}^+ + g_{-j+k+1}^+ & \text{if } n + \delta + 1 \leq j \leq 2n + \delta. \end{cases}$$

The matrix $M_{n,\delta}(\rho)$ is non-singular.

Proof. Set

$$(3.26) \quad D_{n,\delta}(\rho) = \det M_{n,\delta}(\rho).$$

We will show that up to a non-zero constant the determinant factorizes:

$$(3.27) \quad D_{n,\delta}(\rho) = \text{const.} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{2n-1+\delta} \{(\rho+t-i-1)(\rho-t-i+2)\}^{n-\lceil \frac{i-\delta}{2} \rceil}}{\prod_{i=1}^{2n-1+\delta} (\rho-i)^{2n-i+\delta}}$$

Since the determinant never vanishes when $2 \leq r \leq p-2$, the proof will be thus finished.

Set

$$(3.28) \quad f_n^+ = \frac{a_n(\rho)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\rho-i)}, \quad g_n^+ = \frac{b_n(\rho)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\rho-i)}.$$

From (3.23) and (3.24) we see that $a_n(\rho)$ and $b_n(\rho)$ are polynomials in ρ of degree n and $n+1$, respectively. This implies that if we multiply $D_{n,\delta}(\rho)$ by the denominator in the right hand side of (3.27), we have a polynomial in ρ of degree $2n(n+\delta)$. Therefore, in order to prove (3.27) it is enough to show that for $1 \leq i \leq 2n-1+\delta$ by specializing at $\rho = -t+i+1$ or $\rho = t+i-2$, the corank of the matrix $M_{n,\delta}(\rho)$ becomes at least $n - \lceil (i-\delta)/2 \rceil$.

We prove the statement above for the case $\delta = 0$ and $\rho = -t+i+1$. Three other cases are similar. From (3.23) we see that at the special values $\rho = -t+i+1$ ($i \geq 1$) the series $F(z) = (1-z)^{-1+\frac{t}{2}} f^+(z)$ becomes a reciprocal polynomial of degree $i-1$. Namely, we have $F(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} F_j z^j$ where $F_j = F_{i-1-j}$. Suppose that $P(z)$ is a reciprocal polynomial of degree l . Then, the polynomials $(1+z)P(z)$ and $((1-z)z\partial + lz)P(z)$ are also reciprocal and their degrees are $l+1$ and l . From this remark and (3.24) it follows that the series $G(z) = (1-z)^{-1+\frac{t}{2}} g^+(z)$ is a reciprocal polynomial of degree i .

Let $(1-z)^{-1+\frac{t}{2}} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_j z^j$ be the expansion at $z = 0$. If we replace f_j^+, g_j^+ by the coefficients F_j, G_j of $F(z), G(z)$, the matrix $M_{n,\delta}(\rho)$ is left-multiplied by $C = (C_{jk})$ where $C_{jk} = c_{k-j}$. Therefore, it is enough to prove the statement when the matrix $M_{n,\delta}(\rho)$ is given by F_j, G_j instead of f_j^+, g_j^+ . On closer inspection, the matrix thus obtained proves to have the symmetries

$$(3.29) \quad (CM_{n,0}(-t+i+1))_{j,k} = (CM_{n,0}(-t+i+1))_{j,2n+i-k}$$

for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ and $1 \leq 2n+i-k \leq n$. The assertion follows from this.

To show that the constant in (3.27) is non-zero, we specialize $\rho = -1$ and $t = 2$ which produces a simple matrix with determinant ± 2 . $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.3. We start from Proposition 3.2. Suppose that a monomial $m \in \tilde{B}_{r,s}$ does not satisfy (3.13). Then, we have $L \geq 2$, and there exists $1 \leq i \leq L-1$ such that

$$(3.30) \quad -m_i + m_{i+1} < w(r(m)_{i-1}, r(m)_i, r(m)_{i+1}).$$

For the proof of Proposition 3.3 it is enough to show that the vector $\phi_{m_i}^{\sigma_i} \phi_{m_{i+1}}^{\sigma_{i+1}} |v\rangle$ where $|v\rangle = \phi_{m_{i+2}}^{\sigma_{i+2}} \cdots \phi_{m_L}^{\sigma_L} |b(s), s\rangle$ can be written as a linear combination of vectors of the form $\phi_{n'}^{\sigma'} \phi_{n''}^{\sigma''} |v\rangle$ satisfying $\sigma_i + \sigma_{i+1} = \sigma' + \sigma''$, $m_i + m_{i+1} = n' + n''$ and $n' < m_i$.

We use the quadratic relations given in Proposition 2.4 to rewrite the product $\phi_{m_i}^{\sigma_i} \phi_{m_{i+1}}^{\sigma_{i+1}}$. There are six cases:

Case 1: $(r(m)_{i-1}, r(m)_i, r(m)_{i+1}) = (r, r+1, r+2)$

Case 2: $(r(m)_{i-1}, r(m)_i, r(m)_{i+1}) = (r, r-1, r-2)$

Case 3: $(r(m)_{i-1}, r(m)_i, r(m)_{i+1}) = (1, 2, 1)$

Case 4: $(r(m)_{i-1}, r(m)_i, r(m)_{i+1}) = (p-1, p-2, p-1)$

Case 5: $(r(m)_{i-1}, r(m)_i, r(m)_{i+1}) = (r, r+1, r)$ ($2 \leq r \leq p-2$)

Case 6: $(r(m)_{i-1}, r(m)_i, r(m)_{i+1}) = (r, r-1, r)$ ($2 \leq r \leq p-2$)

By the symmetry $(r, s) \leftrightarrow (p-r, p'-s)$, Case 2 is equivalent to Case 1, and Case 4 is equivalent to Case 3.

Case 1 is discussed in Introduction. We will not repeat the argument. We can ignore the right hand side of the quadratic identities (2.22) and (2.23), because it contributes with only smaller terms to the corresponding monomials. If we forget the right hand side, the quadratic relation (2.22) for $r=1$ (see (2.14)) is similar to (2.24) (see (2.15)). The difference is in the sign of the second term, and that the power $(z_1 - z_2)^{-t/2}$ in (2.15) is replaced by $(z_1 - z_2)^{-2+3t/2}$ in (2.14). These powers are related to the weight w in (3.13). The effect of the change of sign is that $w(1, 2, 1) = 3 - 3t/2$ while $w(r, r+1, r+2) = t/2$.

Cases 5 and 6 are combined in the relations (2.22) and (2.23), and thus the proof is more involved.

By the symmetry $(r, s) \leftrightarrow (p-r, p'-s)$, without loss of generality we can assume that

$$(3.31) \quad \rho = rt - s > 0.$$

We have the Fourier series expansions

$$(3.32) \quad \phi_{2,1}^{(r,s,r\pm 1,s)}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \Delta_{r\pm 1,s} - \Delta_{r,s}} \phi_n^{(r,r\pm 1)} z^{-n - \Delta_{2,1}},$$

$$(3.33) \quad \phi_{2,1}^{(r\pm 1,s,r,s)}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} - \Delta_{r\pm 1,s} + \Delta_{r,s}} \phi_n^{(r\pm 1,r)} z^{-n - \Delta_{2,1}}.$$

Here we fix s and suppress it from the notation. Substituting (3.32) and (3.33) in the left hand side of (2.22) and taking the coefficient of each monomial in z_1, z_2 , we obtain an infinite linear combination of the operator products $\phi_{n_1}^{(r,r\pm 1)} \phi_{n_2}^{(r\pm 1,r)}$. Modulo a constant in the right hand side, we obtain a relation among the monomials $\phi_{n_1}^{(r,r\pm 1)} \phi_{n_2}^{(r\pm 1,r)}$. Similarly, we obtain another set of relations from (2.23). Each of these relations contains infinitely many terms of the form $\phi_{n_1}^{(r,r\pm 1)} \phi_{n_2}^{(r\pm 1,r)}$. The sum $n_1 + n_2$ is constant for all the terms which appear in one relation, and moreover, n_2 is bounded from below. Therefore, acting on each vector in $M_{r,s}$, only finitely many terms create non-zero vectors. Namely, the infinite quadratic relation for the operators gives a finite linear relation among the vectors created by them.

Let us write explicitly the relation. We fix $n_1 + n_2$. In order to simplify the notation, we write

$$(3.34) \quad \Phi_{n_2 - n_1}^{\pm} = \phi_{n_1}^{(r,r\pm 1)} \phi_{n_2}^{(r\pm 1,r)}.$$

since the sum $n_1 + n_2$ is fixed, there is no ambiguity when we write only the difference $n_2 - n_1$. Thus, $\Phi_m^{\pm}$ is defined for $m \equiv n_1 + n_2 \pmod{2}$.

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ we set

$$(3.35) \quad A_a = \sum_{\pm} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (f_i^{\pm} + f_{i-2a-1+\delta}^{\pm}) \Phi_{-2a-2\lambda_{\pm}+2i+\delta}^{\pm},$$

$$(3.36) \quad B_a = \sum_{\pm} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (g_i^{\pm} + g_{i-2a+\delta}^{\pm}) \Phi_{-2a-2\lambda_{\pm}+2i+\delta}^{\pm}.$$

From (2.22) we obtain the relations $A_a \equiv 0$ ($a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), and from (2.23) $B_a \equiv 0$ ($a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \delta}$). Here $\equiv$ means that we ignore constant terms. In the proof below we always ignore constant terms.

In accordance with admissibility of monomials, we say $\Phi_n^\pm$ is admissible if and only if

$$(3.37) \quad n \in w_\pm + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

We say also Φ_n^σ is larger than $\Phi_{n'}^{\sigma'}$ if and only if $n < n'$ (not opposite).

Our goal is to show that if Φ_n^σ is non-admissible then it can be written as an infinite linear combination of $\Phi_{n'}^\pm$ such that $n' \geq w_\pm$. Since $w_\pm - 1 < w_\mp$, it implies that the product $\phi_{m_i}^{\sigma_i} \phi_{m_{i+1}}^{\sigma_{i+1}}$ can be replaced by a linear combination of $\phi_{n'}^{\sigma'} \phi_{n''}^{\sigma''}$ such that $n' < m_i$ (modulo a constant).

Let us prove the above statement.

We set

$$(3.38) \quad n_\pm(a) = -2a - 2\lambda_\pm + \delta.$$

The largest term among those $\Phi_n^\pm$ which appear in A_a ($a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) or B_a ($a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \delta}$) is $\Phi_{n_\pm(a)}^\pm$:

$$(3.39) \quad \begin{aligned} A_a &= f_0^+ \Phi_{n_+(a)}^+ + \cdots \\ &\quad + f_0^- \Phi_{n_-(a)}^- + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.40) \quad \begin{aligned} B_a &= g_0^+ \Phi_{n_+(a)}^+ + \cdots \\ &\quad + g_0^- \Phi_{n_-(a)}^- + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Since the matrix

$$(3.41) \quad \begin{pmatrix} f_0^+ & f_0^- \\ g_0^+ & g_0^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\lambda^+ & -\lambda_- \end{pmatrix}$$

is non-degenerate, we can replace $\Phi_{n_\pm(a)}^\pm$ by smaller terms Φ_n^σ , i.e., $n > n_\sigma(a)$. We do replace them while both $\Phi_{n_+(a)}^+$ and $\Phi_{n_-(a)}^-$ are non-admissible, i.e., $n_\pm(a) < w_\pm$. This is equivalent to $a \geq N$ where

$$(3.42) \quad N = \begin{cases} \frac{[\rho]}{2} + \delta & \text{if } [\rho] \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{[\rho]+1}{2} & \text{if } [\rho] \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For this value, we have

$$(3.43) \quad n_+(N) = \begin{cases} w_+ - 2[\rho] - 2 - \delta & \text{if } [\rho] \text{ is even;} \\ w_+ - 2[\rho] - 3 + \delta & \text{if } [\rho] \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$(3.44) \quad n_-(N) = \begin{cases} w_- - 1 - \delta & \text{if } [\rho] \text{ is even;} \\ w_- - 2 + \delta & \text{if } [\rho] \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Note, in particular, that $n_-(N) < w_-$ and $n_-(N) + 2 \geq w_-$. Therefore, the non-admissible terms Φ_n^σ with $\sigma = -$, and those with $\sigma = +$ and $n \in n_+(N) + 2\mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ can be replaced by the terms Φ_n^+ ($n \in n_+(N) + 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) and the admissible terms Φ_n^- ($n \geq w_-$).

The remaining non-admissible terms are

$$(3.45) \quad \Phi_{w_+-2a+\delta}^+ \quad (1 \leq a \leq 2N - \delta) \text{ if } [\rho] \text{ is even;}$$

$$(3.46) \quad \Phi_{w_+-2a+1-\delta}^+ \quad (1 \leq a \leq 2N - \delta) \text{ if } [\rho] \text{ is odd.}$$

We want to eliminate these terms by using the relations $A_a \equiv 0$ ($0 \leq a \leq N - 1$) and $B_a \equiv 0$ ($\delta \leq a \leq N - 1$). For this we need to show that the $(2N - \delta) \times (2N - \delta)$ matrix whose elements are the coefficients of the non-admissible terms in the these relations, is non-degenerate. If $[\rho] = 2n$ ($n \geq 1$), we put the coefficients of $\Phi_{w_+-4N+3\delta}^+, \dots, \Phi_{w_+-2+\delta}^+$ in the first, ..., the $(2N - \delta)$ -th column of the matrix, respectively. If $[\rho] = 2n - 1 + 2\delta$ ($n \geq 1 - \delta$), we put the coefficients of $\Phi_{w_+-4N+1+\delta}^+, \dots, \Phi_{w_+-1-\delta}^+$ in the first, ..., the $(2N - \delta)$ -th column of the matrix, respectively. We put the coefficients in $A_0, \dots, A_{N-1}, B_\delta, \dots, B_{N-1}$ in the first, ..., the $(2N - \delta)$ -th row of the matrix, respectively. If δ is fixed, two matrices corresponding to $[\rho] = 2n, 2n - 1 + 2\delta$ are the same. We denote it by $M_{n,\delta}(\rho)$. The size of $M_{n,\delta}(\rho)$ is $2n - \delta$. This matrix is nothing but $M_{n,\delta}(\rho)$ given by Lemma 3.4. The proof is over. $\square$

3.2. One-dimensional configuration sums. In this section we calculate the character of the spanning set $B_{r,s}$:

$$(3.47) \quad \tilde{\chi}_{r,s}(q) = \sum_{m \in B_{r,s}} q^{d(m)},$$

where $d(m)$ is given by (3.16). The character $\tilde{\chi}_{r,s}(q)$ is expressed as follows by using one-dimensional configuration sums:

Proposition 3.5.

$$(3.48) \quad \tilde{\chi}_{r,s}(q) = q^{\Delta_{b(s),s}} \left(\delta_{r,b(s)} + \sum_{L \geq 1} \frac{1}{(q)_L} \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in C_{r,b(s)}^{(L)}} q^{d_{\mathbf{r}}} \right),$$

$$(3.49) \quad d_{\mathbf{r}} = L(\Delta_{r_{L-1},s} - \Delta_{b(s),s}) + \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} iw(r_{i-1}, r_i, r_{i+1}).$$

Proof. The degree of the vector $|b(s), s\rangle$ is $\Delta_{b(s),s}$. The operator product $\Phi(m)$ (see (3.14)) adds some degree to it. The minimal degree added by those $\Phi(m)$ which have the same associated one-dimensional configuration, i.e., $r(m) = \mathbf{r}$, is equal to $d_{\mathbf{r}}$. The fluctuation from the minimum is added up to the factor $1/(q)_L$. $\square$

Let a, b, c be integers satisfying

$$(3.50) \quad 1 \leq a, b, c \leq p - 1, b = c \pm 1.$$

We introduce the one-dimensional configuration sum

$$(3.51) \quad Y_{a,b,c}^{(L)}(q) = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in C_{a,c}^{(L+1)}, r_L=b} q^{\sum_{i=1}^L iw(r_{i-1}, r_i, r_{i+1})}.$$

Note that

$$(3.52) \quad Y_{a,b,c}^{(0)}(q) = \delta_{a,b}.$$

We have

$$(3.53) \quad \tilde{\chi}_{r,s}(q) = \delta_{r,b(s)} q^{\Delta_{b(s),s}} + \sum_{L \geq 1} \frac{q^{\Delta_{b(s),s}}}{(q)_L} \sum_{\tau=\pm 1} q^{L(\Delta_{b(s)+\tau,s} - \Delta_{b(s),s})} Y_{r,b(s)+\tau,b(s)}^{(L-1)}.$$

Similar sums appeared in the calculation of local height probabilities of the eight vertex solid-on-solid model (see [7, 8]). In fact, there is a connection (first observed in [9]) between one-dimensional configuration sums and characters in conformal field theories. The Virasoro character $\chi_{r,s}$ in the minimal series for general (p, p') (i.e., without the restriction $p' < 2p$) is obtained (see (6) in [12]) in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ of the one-dimensional configuration sum where the local weights are given by

$$(3.54) \quad \tilde{w}(r, r \pm 1, r \pm 2) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$(3.55) \quad \tilde{w}(r, r+1, r) = -[r(p' - p)/p'],$$

$$(3.56) \quad \tilde{w}(r, r-1, r) = [r(p' - p)/p'].$$

Set

$$(3.57) \quad g(r) = -\frac{1}{4}(t-1)r^2 + \frac{1}{4}r.$$

If we modify our weight w to w' by the gauge transformation

$$(3.58) \quad w'(r, r', r'') = w(r, r', r'') - 1 + g(r) - 2g(r') + g(r''),$$

we obtain

$$(3.59) \quad w'(r, r \pm 1, r \pm 2) = -\frac{1}{2},$$

$$(3.60) \quad w'(r, r+1, r) = [r(p' - p)/p],$$

$$(3.61) \quad w'(r, r-1, r) = -[r(p' - p)/p].$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^L i w(r_{i-1}, r_i, r_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^L i w'(r_{i-1}, r_i, r_{i+1}) + \frac{L(L+1)}{2} - g(r_0) + (L+1)g(r_L) - Lg(r_{L+1}),$$

the gauge transformation does not essentially change the one-dimensional configuration sum. The expressions (3.54) and (3.59) are very similar if we change the sign. However, they are not equal for the same values of p, p' . In fact, the way of connecting the one-dimensional configuration sums for $\tilde{w}$ to the Virasoro characters is very different from our way of connecting those for w to the same Virasoro characters. The former uses the limit of L , while in our formula the parameter L appears as a summation variable.

By a routine calculation (see, e.g., [7]), we have the following result.

Proposition 3.6. *For integers a, b, c satisfying $b = c \pm 1$, we define*

$$(3.62) \quad X_{a,b,c}^{(L)}(q) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{r}=(r_0, \dots, r_L, r_{L+1}) \\ r_{i+1}=r_i \pm 1 (0 \leq i \leq L) \\ r_0=a, r_L=b, r_{L+1}=c}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^L i w(r_{i-1}, r_i, r_{i+1})}.$$

Then, we have

$$(3.63) \quad X_{a,b,b\pm 1}^{(L)}(q) = q^C \left[\frac{L}{\frac{L\pm(a-b)}{2}} \right]$$

where

$$C = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ L(L+1) \mp L + (t-1)(a^2 - b^2) - a + b \right. \\ \left. + L(t-1)(\pm 2b + 1) + (1 \mp 2[(t-1)c])(L \pm (a-b)) \right\},$$

and

$$(3.64) \quad Y_{a,b,b\pm 1}^{(L)}(q) = \sum_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{\varepsilon a + 2np, b, b\pm 1}^{(L)}(q).$$

We omit the proof of this proposition. We only remark that the gauge transformation (3.58) makes the computation shorter, and that the summation (3.64) realizes the boundary conditions

$$(3.65) \quad Y_{a,0,1}^{(L)}(q) = Y_{a,p,p-1}^{(L)}(q) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq a \leq p-1.$$

3.3. Fermionic formulas of Virasoro characters. We denote the corresponding character by $\chi_{r,s}$. It is given by the following bosonic formula.

$$(3.66) \quad \chi_{r,s}(q) = \frac{q^{\Delta_{r,s}}}{(q)_{\infty}} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{pp'n^2 + (p'r - ps)n} - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{pp'n^2 + (p'r + ps)n + rs} \right).$$

We rewrite it by using

Lemma 3.7. *For all integer l , we have*

$$(3.67) \quad \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^{m^2 - ml}}{(q)_m (q)_{m+l}}.$$

Here, we set

$$(3.68) \quad \frac{1}{(q)_m} = 0 \text{ if } m < 0.$$

Applying the lemma to the first/second sum in (3.66) by setting

$$(3.69) \quad l = \mp r + b + 2pn,$$

and changing the summation over $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ to the summation over

$$(3.70) \quad L = 2m + 2pn + l \in \mp r + b + 2\mathbb{Z},$$

we obtain

Proposition 3.8. *The Virasoro character $\chi_{r,s}$ can be written as follows where b is any integer.*

$$(3.71) \quad \chi_{r,s}(q) = q^{\Delta_{r,s}} \sum_{L \geq 0} \frac{q^{\frac{L^2 - (r-b)^2}{4}}}{(q)_L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(q^A \begin{bmatrix} L \\ \frac{L-r+b}{2} - pn \end{bmatrix} - q^B \begin{bmatrix} L \\ \frac{L-r-b}{2} - pn \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

where

$$A = p(p' - p)n^2 + \{(p' - p)r - p(s - b)\}n,$$

$$B = p(p' - p)n^2 + \{(p' - p)r + p(s - b)\}n + r(s - b).$$

We will identify the formula (3.71) where $b = b(s)$ with (3.53). As a result, we see that if $b = b(s)$, for each L the sum over n in (3.71) is a series with non-negative coefficients.

Proposition 3.9. *We have the equality*

$$(3.72) \quad \tilde{\chi}_{r,s}(q) = \chi_{r,s}(q).$$

Proof. We set $b = b(s)$ in (3.71). There are two cases: Case (i) $[tb(s)] = s$; Case (ii) $[tb(s)] = s - 1$. In Case (i), we rewrite (3.71) by using the identities

$$(3.73) \quad \begin{bmatrix} L \\ \frac{L-r+b}{2} - pn \end{bmatrix} = q^{\frac{L-r+b}{2} - pn} \begin{bmatrix} L-1 \\ \frac{L-r+b}{2} - pn \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} L-1 \\ \frac{L+r-b}{2} + pn \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(3.74) \quad \begin{bmatrix} L \\ \frac{L-r-b}{2} - pn \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L-1 \\ \frac{L-r-b}{2} - pn \end{bmatrix} + q^{\frac{L+r+b}{2} + pn} \begin{bmatrix} L-1 \\ \frac{L+r+b}{2} + pn \end{bmatrix}.$$

For each $L \geq 1$, we thus obtain four terms in (3.71). One can check these four terms are equal to the terms in (3.53) and (3.64) corresponding to $(\varepsilon, \tau) = (1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, -1), (-1, 1)$, respectively. The proof for Case (ii) is similar. $\square$

As a corollary, we have

Theorem 3.10. *The set of vectors $|m\rangle$ ($m \in B_{r,s}$) is a basis of the representation space $M_{r,s}$.*

4. THE $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ -CASE

In this section we construct a basis of the integrable irreducible highest weight modules of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. The construction is quite similar to the case of Virasoro modules. In the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ -case we use the vertex operators (see (4.1) below) instead of the $(2, 1)$ -primary fields.

4.1. Preliminaries. First we introduce some notation on the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ and its representations.

Denote by E, F and H the generators of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ given by

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ is defined by

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D.$$

Here K is the central element. We denote the subalgebra $(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ by $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}'_2$. Set $X(m) := X \otimes t^m$ for $X = E, F$ or H . The commutation relations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [X(m), Y(n)] &= [X, Y](m+n) + m\delta_{m+n,0}(X|Y)K, \\ [D, X(m)] &= mX(m), \end{aligned}$$

where $(X|Y) = \text{tr}(XY)$.

Let $V^{(j)}$ be the $(j+1)$ -dimensional irreducible module of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. We denote its affinization by $V_z^{(j)} := V^{(j)} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$.

In the following, we fix an integer $k \geq 0$ and consider highest weight representations of level k . Let λ be an integer such that $0 \leq \lambda \leq k$. We denote the integrable irreducible highest weight module of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ with the highest weight $(k-\lambda)\Lambda_0 + \lambda\Lambda_1$ by $V(\lambda)$. Let $|\lambda\rangle$ be the highest weight vector.

The Virasoro algebra acts on $V(\lambda)$ by the Sugawara operator:

$$L_n := \frac{1}{2(k+2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : E(n-m)F(m) + F(n-m)E(m) + \frac{1}{2}H(n-m)H(m) :.$$

Here $: \cdot :$ is the normal ordering defined by

$$: X(m)Y(n) : = \begin{cases} X(m)Y(n) & (m < n), \\ \frac{1}{2}(X(m)Y(n) + Y(n)X(m)) & (m = n), \\ Y(n)X(m) & (m > n). \end{cases}$$

The central charge is given by $c = \frac{3k}{k+2}$. The vector $|\lambda\rangle$ satisfies

$$L_0|\lambda\rangle = \Delta_\lambda|\lambda\rangle, \quad \Delta_\lambda := \frac{\lambda(\lambda+2)}{4(k+2)}.$$

The module $V(\lambda)$ is bi-graded by L_0 and $H(0)$. We set

$$V(\lambda)_{d,s} := \{v \in V(\lambda) \mid L_0 v = dv, H(0)v = sv\}.$$

Then $V(\lambda)_{\Delta_\lambda, \lambda} = \mathbb{C}|\lambda\rangle$ and $V(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{d \in \Delta_\lambda + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, s \in \lambda + 2\mathbb{Z}} V(\lambda)_{d,s}$.

Consider the dual module $V(\lambda)^* = \bigoplus_{d,s} (V(\lambda)_{d,s})^*$. It is the right $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ -module. Let $\langle \lambda| \in (V(\lambda)_{\Delta_\lambda, \lambda})^*$ be the vector satisfying $\langle \lambda|\lambda\rangle = 1$.

4.2. Vertex operators. Set

$$\mathbb{C}((z)) := \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n \mid a_n \in \mathbb{C}, a_n = 0 \text{ for } n \ll 0 \right\}.$$

The vertex operator $\phi(z)$ is a $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ -linear map

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{n \in \Delta_\lambda - \Delta_\mu + \mathbb{Z}} \phi_n z^{-n - \Delta_j} : V(\lambda) \otimes V_z^{(j)} \longrightarrow V(\mu) \otimes z^{\Delta_\mu - \Delta_\lambda - \Delta_j} \mathbb{C}((z))$$

which commutes with the action of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}'_2$ and satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad [L_n, \phi(z)] = z^n (z\partial + (n+1)\Delta_j) \phi(z).$$

For $u \in V_z^{(j)}$ we define the map

$$\phi(u; z) : V(\lambda) \longrightarrow V(\mu) \otimes z^{\Delta_\mu - \Delta_\lambda - \Delta_j} \mathbb{C}((z)), \quad \phi(u; z)v := \phi(z)(v \otimes u).$$

Then it satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad [x, \phi(u; z)] = \phi(xu; z) \quad \text{for } x \in \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}'_2.$$

Consider the function

$$\langle \mu | \phi(z_1) \phi(z_2) | \lambda \rangle : V_{z_2}^{(j_2)} \otimes V_{z_1}^{(j_1)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that the relation (4.1) with $n = 0$ implies

$$\phi(tz) = t^{-\Delta_j} \cdot t^{L_0} \phi(z) t^{-L_0}.$$

Hence we have

$$\langle \mu | \phi(z_1) \phi(z_2) | \lambda \rangle = z_1^{\Delta_\mu - \Delta_\lambda - \Delta_{j_1} - \Delta_{j_2}} \langle \mu | \phi(1) \phi(z_2/z_1) | \lambda \rangle.$$

The function

$$G(z) := \langle \mu | \phi(1) \phi(z) | \lambda \rangle$$

satisfies the following differential equation:

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{dG}{dz} = \left(\frac{\Omega_0}{z} + \frac{\Omega_1}{z-1} \right) G,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= \frac{1}{k+2}, \quad \Omega_0 = -F \otimes E - 1 \otimes FE + \frac{\lambda}{2}(1 \otimes H), \\ \Omega_1 &= E \otimes F + F \otimes E + \frac{1}{2}H \otimes H. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\phi^\pm(z)$ the vertex operator of the following type:

$$\phi^\pm(z) : V(\lambda) \otimes V_z^{(1)} \longrightarrow V(\lambda \pm 1) \otimes z^{\Delta_{\lambda \pm 1} - \Delta_\lambda - \Delta_1} \mathbb{C}((z)).$$

We abbreviate $V^{(1)}$ and $V_z^{(1)}$ to V and V_z , respectively. Let $\{v_+, v_-\}$ be a basis of V satisfying $Hv_\pm = \pm v_\pm$. We set

$$\phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z) := \phi^\sigma(v_\epsilon; z) = \sum_{n \in \Delta_\lambda - \Delta_{\lambda+\sigma} + \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{\epsilon,n}^\sigma z^{-n - \Delta_1}$$

for $\sigma, \epsilon = \pm$. Each Fourier component of $\phi_\epsilon^\sigma(z)$ gives a map

$$\phi_{\epsilon,n}^\sigma : V(\lambda)_{d,s} \longrightarrow V(\lambda + \sigma)_{d-n, s+\epsilon}.$$

We choose the normalization

$$(4.4) \quad \phi_{\pm, \Delta_\lambda - \Delta_{\lambda \pm 1}}^\pm | \lambda \rangle = | \lambda \pm 1 \rangle.$$

Since the vector $| \lambda \rangle$ satisfies

$$F(0)^{\lambda+1} | \lambda \rangle = 0, \quad E(-1)^{k-\lambda+1} | \lambda \rangle = 0,$$

we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_-^+(z) | \lambda \rangle &= z^{\Delta_{\lambda+1} - \Delta_\lambda - \Delta_1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda+1} F(0) | \lambda+1 \rangle + O(z) \right), \\ \phi_+^-(z) | \lambda \rangle &= z^{\Delta_{\lambda-1} - \Delta_\lambda - \Delta_1 + 1} \left(\frac{1}{k-\lambda+1} E(-1) | \lambda-1 \rangle + O(z) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can obtain the following formulae by solving (4.3). Each solution is uniquely determined by (4.4) and (4.5).

Proposition 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \lambda \pm 2 | \phi_{\pm}^{\pm}(z_1) \phi_{\pm}^{\pm}(z_2) | \lambda \rangle &= (z_1 z_2)^{\Delta_{\lambda \pm 1} - \Delta_{\lambda} - \Delta_1} (z_1 - z_2)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}, \\
\langle \lambda | \phi_{+}^{+}(z_1) \phi_{-}^{-}(z_2) | \lambda \rangle &= (z_1 z_2)^{-\Delta_1} z^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})\kappa} (1 - z)^{-\frac{3\kappa}{2}} \\
&\quad \times F(-\kappa, 1 - (\lambda + 2)\kappa, 1 - (\lambda + 1)\kappa; z), \\
\langle \lambda | \phi_{+}^{-}(z_1) \phi_{-}^{+}(z_2) | \lambda \rangle &= (z_1 z_2)^{-\Delta_1} \frac{-1}{\lambda + 1} z^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \frac{3}{2})\kappa} (1 - z)^{-\frac{3\kappa}{2}} \\
&\quad \times F(1 - \kappa, \lambda\kappa, 1 + (\lambda + 1)\kappa; z), \\
\langle \lambda | \phi_{-}^{+}(z_1) \phi_{+}^{-}(z_2) | \lambda \rangle &= (z_1 z_2)^{-\Delta_1} \frac{-1}{k - \lambda + 1} z^{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})\kappa} (1 - z)^{-\frac{3\kappa}{2}} \\
&\quad \times F(1 - \kappa, 1 - (\lambda + 2)\kappa, 2 - (\lambda + 1)\kappa; z), \\
\langle \lambda | \phi_{-}^{-}(z_1) \phi_{+}^{+}(z_2) | \lambda \rangle &= (z_1 z_2)^{-\Delta_1} z^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \frac{3}{2})\kappa} (1 - z)^{-\frac{3\kappa}{2}} F(-\kappa, \lambda\kappa, (\lambda + 1)\kappa; z).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $z = z_2/z_1$ and $F(a, b, c; z)$ is the hypergeometric function.

4.3. Exchange relations. In this subsection we prove the exchange relations for the vertex operators $\phi_{\epsilon}^{\sigma}(z)$ ($\sigma, \epsilon = \pm$). To this aim we prepare the following lemma which plays a similar role to Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 4.2. Let $F(a, b, c; z)$ be the hypergeometric function. Set

$$A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} (\beta - \alpha + 1)F(\alpha - 1, \alpha + \beta - 1, 1 + \beta; z) & (1 - \alpha - \beta)F(\alpha - 1, \alpha - \beta - 1, 1 - \beta; z) \\ F(\alpha, \alpha + \beta, 1 + \beta; z) & F(\alpha, \alpha - \beta, 1 - \beta; z) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$B(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta} F(-\alpha, 1 - \alpha - \beta, 1 - \beta; z) & \frac{\alpha(\beta - \alpha)}{\beta(1 - \beta)} z F(1 - \alpha, 1 - \alpha - \beta, 2 - \beta; z) \\ \frac{\alpha}{\beta} F(1 - \alpha, \beta - \alpha, 1 + \beta; z) & F(-\alpha, \beta - \alpha, \beta; z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $A(z)B(z)$ is a polynomial in z of degree one. More explicitly we have

$$(4.6) A(z)B(z) = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \beta - 3\alpha) - (\alpha + \beta - 1)z & (1 + \beta - 3\alpha)z - (\alpha + \beta - 1) \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By using the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
F(a, b, c; z) &= \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b - a)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c - a)} (-z)^{-a} F(a, a - c + 1, a - b + 1; z^{-1}) \\
&\quad + \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a - b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c - b)} (-z)^{-b} F(b, b - c + 1, b - a + 1; z^{-1}) \quad (|z| > 1, z \notin \mathbb{R}_{>0}),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A(z^{-1}) M(\alpha, \beta; z), \quad B(z) = M(\alpha, \beta; z)^{-1} B(z^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$M(\alpha, \beta; z) = \begin{pmatrix} -(-z)^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\sin \pi \beta} & (-z)^\alpha \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)\Gamma(-\beta)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \\ (-z)^\alpha \frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(1+\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+\alpha)} & (-z)^{\alpha-\beta} \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\sin \pi \beta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$A(z)B(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A(z^{-1})B(z^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This equality implies that $A(z)B(z)$ is a polynomial of degree one. $\square$

Proposition 4.3. *We have the following identities of formal power series of operators acting on the irreducible highest weight module $V(\lambda)$ of level k :*

$$(4.7) \quad h_\lambda^\sigma(z_1, z_2) \phi_{\epsilon_1}^\sigma(z_1) \phi_{\epsilon_2}^\sigma(z_2) - h_\lambda^\sigma(z_2, z_1) \phi_{\epsilon_2}^\sigma(z_2) \phi_{\epsilon_1}^\sigma(z_1) = 0, \quad (\sigma = \pm)$$

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{\sigma=\pm} f_\lambda^\sigma(z_1, z_2) \phi_{\epsilon_1}^\sigma(z_1) \phi_{\epsilon_2}^{-\sigma}(z_2) - \sum_{\sigma=\pm} f_\lambda^\sigma(z_2, z_1) \phi_{\epsilon_2}^\sigma(z_2) \phi_{\epsilon_1}^{-\sigma}(z_1) \\ = \epsilon_1 \delta_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2, 0} \delta(z_2/z_1),$$

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{\sigma=\pm} g_\lambda^\sigma(z_1, z_2) \phi_{\epsilon_1}^\sigma(z_1) \phi_{\epsilon_2}^{-\sigma}(z_2) - \sum_{\sigma=\pm} g_\lambda^\sigma(z_2, z_1) \phi_{\epsilon_2}^\sigma(z_2) \phi_{\epsilon_1}^{-\sigma}(z_1) \\ = \epsilon_1 \delta_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2, 0} z_1^{-1} \delta(z_2/z_1).$$

Here $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = \pm$, $\delta(z_2/z_1) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z_1^{-n} z_2^n$ and

$$h_\lambda^\pm(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 z_2)^{\frac{\kappa}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}(\lambda+1)\kappa} z_1^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}} \cdot (1-z)^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}, \\ f_\lambda^\pm(z_1, z_2) = C_\lambda^\pm (z_1 z_2)^{\Delta_1} \\ \times z^{-\frac{\kappa}{4} \pm \frac{1}{2}(\lambda+1)\kappa} (1-z)^{-1+\frac{3\kappa}{2}} F(-1+\kappa, -1+\kappa \pm (\lambda+1)\kappa, 1 \pm (\lambda+1)\kappa; z), \\ g_\lambda^\pm(z_1, z_2) = \tilde{C}_\lambda^\pm z_1^{-1} (z_1 z_2)^{\Delta_1} \\ \times z^{-\frac{\kappa}{4} \pm \frac{1}{2}(\lambda+1)\kappa} (1-z)^{-1+\frac{3\kappa}{2}} F(\kappa, \kappa \pm (\lambda+1)\kappa, 1 \pm (\lambda+1)\kappa; z),$$

where $z = z_2/z_1$ and $F(a, b, c; z)$ is the hypergeometric function. The constants $C_\lambda^\pm, \tilde{C}_\lambda^\pm$ are given by

$$C_\lambda^+ = \frac{\lambda(k+\lambda+2)}{2k(\lambda+1)}, \quad C_\lambda^- = \frac{\lambda-k}{2k}, \quad \tilde{C}_\lambda^+ = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}, \quad \tilde{C}_\lambda^- = -1.$$

Remark 4.4. *In the case of $\lambda = 0$, the summation in the left hand side of (4.8) and (4.9) becomes one term with $\sigma = -$. Similarly, if $\lambda = k$, it becomes one term with $\sigma = +$.*

Proof of Proposition 4.3. Here we prove the second relation (4.8). The proofs for the other ones are similar.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the bilinear pairing $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\langle v_{\epsilon_1}, v_{\epsilon_2} \rangle := \epsilon_1 \delta_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2, 0}$. This pairing satisfies

$$(4.10) \quad \langle Xu, v \rangle + \langle u, Xv \rangle = 0, \quad u, v \in V$$

for $X = E, F, H$.

For $u_i \in V$ ($i = 1, 2$) we set

$$\begin{aligned} A_{u_1, u_2}(z_1, z_2) &:= \sum_{\sigma=\pm} f_{\lambda}^{\sigma}(z_1, z_2) \phi^{\sigma}(u_1; z_1) \phi^{-\sigma}(u_2; z_2) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\sigma=\pm} f_{\lambda}^{\sigma}(z_2, z_1) \phi^{\sigma}(u_2; z_2) \phi^{-\sigma}(u_1; z_1) - \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \delta(z_2/z_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.8) is equivalent to the equality $A_{u_1, u_2}(z_1, z_2) = 0$. Let us prove it. We show the following identities:

$$(4.11) \quad \langle \lambda | A_{u_1, u_2}(z_1, z_2) | \lambda \rangle = 0,$$

$$(4.12) \quad [X(m), A_{u_1, u_2}(z_1, z_2)] = z_1^m A_{Xu_1, u_2}(z_1, z_2) + z_2^m A_{u_1, Xu_2}(z_1, z_2), \quad \text{for } X = E, F, H.$$

These identities imply that $A_{u_1, u_2}(z_1, z_2) = 0$ by the same argument as the proof of Proposition 2.4.

To prove (4.11) it is enough to consider the case that $u_1 = v_{\epsilon}$ and $u_2 = v_{-\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon = \pm$. Then (4.11) follows from Proposition 4.1 and the equalities of (1, 1) and (1, 2) elements in (4.6) with $\alpha = \kappa$ and $\beta = (\lambda + 1)\kappa$.

Let us prove (4.12). From (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X(m), A_{u_1, u_2}(z_1, z_2)] &= z_1^m (A_{Xu_1, u_2}(z_1, z_2) + \langle Xu_1, u_2 \rangle \delta(z_2/z_1)) \\ &\quad + z_2^m (A_{u_1, Xu_2}(z_1, z_2) + \langle u_1, Xu_2 \rangle \delta(z_2/z_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $z_1^m \delta(z_2/z_1) = z_2^m \delta(z_2/z_1)$. Hence (4.12) follows from (4.10). This completes the proof of (4.8).

In the proof of (4.9) we should show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \lambda | \left(\sum_{\sigma=\pm} g_{\lambda}^{\sigma}(z_1, z_2) \phi_{\epsilon}^{\sigma}(z_1) \phi_{-\epsilon}^{-\sigma}(z_2) - \sum_{\sigma=\pm} g_{\lambda}^{\sigma}(z_2, z_1) \phi_{-\epsilon}^{\sigma}(z_2) \phi_{\epsilon}^{-\sigma}(z_1) \right) | \lambda \rangle \\ &= \epsilon_1 \delta_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2, 0} z_1^{-1} \delta(z_2/z_1). \end{aligned}$$

To prove this, use the equalities of (2, 1) and (2, 2) elements in (4.6). $\square$

4.4. Monomial basis. From the exchange relations (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), we can construct a spanning set of $V(\mu)$:

Proposition 4.5. *The vectors*

$$(4.13) \quad \phi_{\epsilon_1, n_1}^{\sigma_1} \cdots \phi_{\epsilon_L, n_L}^{\sigma_L} |0\rangle, \quad L \geq 0, \quad \sigma_i \in \{+, -\}, \quad \epsilon_i \in \{+, -\}$$

satisfying the following condition (4.14) and (4.15) span the irreducible highest weight module $V(\mu)$ of level k . Set $\lambda_L = 0$ and $\lambda_{i-1} = \lambda_i + \sigma_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, L$). Then

$$(4.14) \quad 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq k, \quad \lambda_0 = \mu, \quad n_i \in \Delta_{\lambda_i} - \Delta_{\lambda_{i-1}} + \mathbb{Z},$$

$$(4.15) \quad -n_L \geq \Delta_1, \quad n_{i+1} - n_i \geq w(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}) + h(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, L-1),$$

where the functions $w(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1})$ and $h(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1})$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} w(\lambda \pm 1, \lambda, \lambda \mp 1) &= \frac{\kappa}{2}, \quad w(\lambda, \lambda - 1, \lambda) = (\lambda + \frac{1}{2})\kappa, \quad w(\lambda, \lambda + 1, \lambda) = 1 - (\lambda + \frac{3}{2})\kappa, \\ h(+, -) &= 1, \quad h(\epsilon, \epsilon') = 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First we prove that the vectors $\phi_{\epsilon_1, n_1}^{\sigma_1} \cdots \phi_{\epsilon_L, n_L}^{\sigma_L} |0\rangle$ satisfying only the condition (4.14) span $V(\mu)$. Let W_μ be the subspace of $V(\mu)$ spanned by the vectors. From (4.4) we have $|\mu\rangle \in W_\mu$. Moreover, from the commutation relation (4.2) and

$$E(0)|0\rangle = F(0)|0\rangle = F(1)|0\rangle = 0, \quad E(-1)|0\rangle = k\phi_{+, \Delta_1-1}^- \phi_{+, -\Delta_1}^+ |0\rangle,$$

which follows from (4.5), we see that $xW_\mu \subset W_\mu$ for any $x \in \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. Hence $W_\mu = V(\mu)$.

The rest of the proof is similar to that in Section 2. We consider quadratic monomials $\phi_{\epsilon_1, n_1}^{\sigma_1} \phi_{\epsilon_2, n_2}^{\sigma_2}$ acting on $V(\lambda)$, and show that each monomial is reduced to a linear combination of ones satisfying

$$n_2 - n_1 \geq w(\lambda + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2, \lambda + \sigma_2, \lambda) + h(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$$

by using the exchange relations in Proposition 4.3. Here we prove it in the case of $\sigma_1 = -\sigma_2$ and $0 < \lambda < k$. The proofs for the other cases are similar.

Fix $n_1 + n_2$ and set

$$\Phi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; n_2 - n_1}^\sigma = \phi_{\epsilon_1, n_1}^\sigma \phi_{\epsilon_2, n_2}^{-\sigma}.$$

We say the monomial $\Phi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; n}^\sigma$ is admissible if

$$n \geq w(\lambda, \lambda - \sigma, \lambda) + h(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2).$$

Write down the exchange relations (4.8) and (4.9) in terms of Fourier components. Then we find

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & C_\lambda^+ \Phi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; n}^+ + C_\lambda^- \Phi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; n-2(\lambda+1)\kappa}^- + \cdots \\ & - \left(C_\lambda^+ \Phi_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1; -n+(2\lambda+1)\kappa}^+ + C_\lambda^- \Phi_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1; -n-\kappa}^- + \cdots \right) \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{C}_\lambda^+ \Phi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; n}^+ + \tilde{C}_\lambda^- \Phi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; n-2(\lambda+1)\kappa}^- + \cdots \\ & - \left(\tilde{C}_\lambda^+ \Phi_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1; -n+(2\lambda+1)\kappa+2}^+ + \tilde{C}_\lambda^- \Phi_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1; -n-\kappa+2}^- + \cdots \right) \equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\equiv$ means that we ignore the constant terms. From these relations and

$$(4.18) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} C_\lambda^+ & C_\lambda^- \\ \tilde{C}_\lambda^+ & \tilde{C}_\lambda^- \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{\lambda}{k} \neq 0,$$

the monomials

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; n}^+, \quad n < (\lambda + \frac{1}{2})\kappa = w(\lambda, \lambda - 1, \lambda) \quad \text{and} \\ & \Phi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; n}^-, \quad n < -(\lambda + \frac{3}{2})\kappa = w(\lambda, \lambda + 1, \lambda) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

can be written as a linear combination of the rest. Hence it suffices to prove that the monomials

$$\Phi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; -(\lambda+3/2)\kappa}^-, \quad \Phi_{+,-; (\lambda+1/2)\kappa}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{+,-; -(\lambda+3/2)\kappa+1}^-$$

can be reduced to admissible ones.

Set $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon$ and $n = (\lambda + 1/2)\kappa$ in (4.17). Then we have

$$\tilde{C}_\lambda^+ \Phi_{\epsilon, \epsilon; (\lambda+1/2)\kappa}^+ + \tilde{C}_\lambda^- \Phi_{\epsilon, \epsilon; -(\lambda+3/2)\kappa}^- + \cdots \equiv 0.$$

Hence $\Phi_{\pm, \pm; -(\lambda+3/2)\kappa}^-$ can be reduced.

Next consider (4.16) and (4.17) with $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 = \epsilon$ and $n = (\lambda + 1/2)\kappa$:

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & C_\lambda^+ \left(\Phi_{\epsilon, -\epsilon; (\lambda+1/2)\kappa}^+ - \Phi_{-\epsilon, \epsilon; (\lambda+1/2)\kappa}^+ \right) \\ & + C_\lambda^- \left(\Phi_{\epsilon, -\epsilon; -(\lambda+3/2)\kappa}^- - \Phi_{-\epsilon, \epsilon; -(\lambda+3/2)\kappa}^- \right) + \cdots \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{C}_\lambda^+ \Phi_{\epsilon, -\epsilon; (\lambda+1/2)\kappa}^+ + \tilde{C}_\lambda^- \Phi_{\epsilon, -\epsilon; -(\lambda+3/2)\kappa}^- + \cdots \equiv 0.$$

These relations hold for $\epsilon = +$ and $-$. Here we note that (4.19) with $\epsilon = +$ and the one with $\epsilon = -$ are equivalent. Hence we have three relations among four monomials $\Phi_{\pm, \mp; (\lambda+1/2)\kappa}^+$ and $\Phi_{\pm, \mp; -(\lambda+3/2)\kappa}^-$. The relations are linearly independent from (4.18). By using them we reduce the monomials except $\Phi_{-, +; (\lambda+1/2)\kappa}^+$.

At last we consider (4.17) with $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 = \epsilon$ and $n = (\lambda + 1/2)\kappa + 1$. Then we find

$$\tilde{C}_\lambda^- \left(\Phi_{\epsilon, -\epsilon; -(\lambda+3/2)\kappa+1}^- - \Phi_{-\epsilon, \epsilon; -(\lambda+3/2)\kappa+1}^- \right) + \cdots \equiv 0.$$

This relation holds for $\epsilon = +$ and $-$; however this gives only one relation by the same reason as before. Hence we can reduce one monomial. Here we reduce $\Phi_{+, -; -(\lambda+3/2)\kappa+1}^-$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 4.6. *The vectors (4.13) satisfying (4.14) and (4.15) are linearly independent. Hence they give a basis of the irreducible highest weight module $V(\mu)$ of level k .*

Proof. We consider the character of $V(\mu)$, which is a formal power series defined by

$$\text{ch}_{q,z} V(\mu) = q^{-\Delta_\mu} \sum_{d,s} (\dim V(\mu)_{d,s}) q^d z^s.$$

Since the vectors (4.13) span $V(\mu)$, we have

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ch}_{q,z} V(\mu) & \leq \delta_{\mu,0} + q^{-\Delta_\mu} \sum_{L \geq 1} \frac{1}{(q)_L} \sum_{(\lambda_i)} q^{L\Delta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} i w(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1})} \\ & \times \sum_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_L = \pm} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{L-1} i h(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1})} z^{\sum_{i=1}^L \epsilon_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the second sum is over the sequences $(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_L)$ of integers such that

$$\lambda_0 = \mu, \quad \lambda_{i+1} = \lambda_i \pm 1, \quad 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq k, \quad \lambda_L = 0.$$

Let us prove the equality in (4.20). Then it implies Theorem 4.6. Set

$$\tilde{w}(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}) := w(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}) - \Delta_{\lambda_{i-1}} + 2\Delta_{\lambda_i} - \Delta_{\lambda_{i+1}}.$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{w}(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda_{i-1} = \lambda_{i+1} = \lambda_i - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\lambda_i)} q^{L\Delta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} iw(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1})} &= q^{\Delta_\mu} \sum_{(\lambda_i)} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{L-1} i\tilde{w}(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1})} \\ &= q^{\Delta_\mu} K_{\mu, (1^L)}^{(k)}(q), \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{\mu, (1^L)}^{(k)}(q)$ is the level-restricted Kostka polynomial. From this formula and

$$\sum_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_L = \pm} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{L-1} ih(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1})} z^{\sum_{i=1}^L \epsilon_i} = \sum_{l=0}^L \frac{(q)_L}{(q)_l (q)_{L-l}} z^{L-2l},$$

the right hand side of (4.20) is equal to

$$(4.21) \quad \sum_{L \geq 0} \frac{1}{(q)_L} K_{\mu, (1^L)}^{(k)}(q) \sum_{l=0}^L \frac{(q)_L}{(q)_l (q)_{L-l}} z^{L-2l}.$$

Here by definition we set $K_{\mu, (1^0)}^{(k)}(q) = \delta_{\mu, 0}$. Then (4.21) is equal to the character of $V(\mu)$ as shown in [2] (the formula (2.14) in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$). $\square$

Acknowledgments. BF is partially supported by grants RFBR-02-01-01015, RFHR-01-01-00906, INTAS-00-00055. JM is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B2) no.12440039, and TM is partially supported by (A1) no.13304010, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. EM is partially supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) grant DMS-0140460.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. S  n  chal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer GTCP, New York, 1997.
- [2] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, S. Loktev and T. Miwa. Two character formulas for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ spaces of coinvariants, math.QA/0211354.
- [3] J. Lepowsky and R. Wilson, The structure of standard modules, I: Universal algebras and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), 199-290; II: The case $A_1^{(1)}$, principal gradation, *ibid.* **79** (1985), 417-442.
- [4] J. Lepowsky and M. Primc, Structure of the standard modules for the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, *Contemporary Math.* **46**, 1985.
- [5] M. Primc, Vertex operator construction of standard modules for $A_n^{(1)}$, *Pacific J. Math.* **162** (1994), 143-187.
- [6] A. Meurman and M. Primc, Annihilating fields of standard modules of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and combinatorial identities. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137 (1999), no. 652.
- [7] G. Andrews, R. Baxter and P. Forrester, Eight-vertex SOS model and generalized Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities, *J. Stat. Phys.* **35** (1984), 193-266.
- [8] P. Forrester and R. Baxter, Further exact solutions of the eight-vertex SOS model and generalizations of the Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities, *J. Stat. Phys.* **38** (1985) 435-472.

- [9] D.A. Huse, Exact exponents for infinitely many new multi-critical points, *Phys. Rev.* **B30** (1984) 3908-3915.
- [10] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, Coinvariants of Nilpotent Subalgebras of the Virasoro Algebra and Partition Identities, *Advances in Soviet Mathematics*, **30**, Part I (1993), 139–148.
- [11] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Vertex operator algebra arising from the minimal series $M(3, p)$ and monomial basis, *Proceedings of MathPhys Odessey 2001, Okayama*, Birkhäuser, 179–204 (2002); math.QA/0012193.
- [12] O. Foda, K. S. M. Lee, Y. Pugai, and T. A. Welsh. Path generating transforms. *Contemporary Math.* math.QA/9810043.
- [13] B. Feigin and T. Miwa, Extended vertex operator algebras and monomial bases, in McGuire Festschrift, “Statistical Physics on the Eve of the Twenty-First Century” (Eds. M. Batchelor et al) World Scientific, 1999.
- [14] Y.-Z. Huang Generalized rationality and a “Jacobi identity” for intertwining operator algebras, *Selecta Mat.* **6** (2000), 225-267.

BF: LANDAU INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, CHERNOGOLOVKA, 142432, RUSSIA
E-mail address: feigin@feigin.mccme.ru

MJ: GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN
E-mail address: jimbomic@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

TM: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN
E-mail address: tetsuji@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

EM: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY-PURDUE UNIVERSITY-INDIANAPOLIS, 402 N.BLACKFORD ST., LD 270, INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46202
E-mail address: mukhin@math.iupui.edu

YT: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, TSUKUBA, IBARAKI 305-8571, JAPAN
E-mail address: takeyama@math.tsukuba.ac.jp